Docket No.: 0038-0487PUS1

Art Unit: 3746

Page 5 of 10

REMARKS

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present

application. Claims 1 and 4-9 are currently being prosecuted. Claims 1 and 5-9 are amended.

Claim 1 is independent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the rejections

in view of the Amendments and Remarks as set forth hereinbelow.

Reasons for Entry of Amendments

At the outset, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered into the

Official File in view of the fact that the amendments to the claims automatically place the

application in condition for allowance.

In the alternative, if the Examiner does not agree that this application is in condition

for allowance, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered for the purpose of

appeal. This Amendment was not presented at an earlier date in view of the fact that

Applicant did not fully appreciate the Examiner's position until the Final Office Action was

reviewed.

<u>Drawings</u>

It is gratefully appreciated that the Examiner has accepted the drawings.

Rejection Under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 1 and 3-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Roth et al. (U.S. 4,965,864) in view of Wright (U.S. 4,864,849) and Ohki et al. (U.S.

5,302,872). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Docket No.: 0038-0487PUSI Art Unit: 3746

Page 6 of 10

Amendments to Independent Claim 1

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to

advance prosecution of the present application, independent claim 1 has been amended herein

to recite a combination of elements directed to an electromagnetic pump, including inter alia

"wherein a magnetic circuit, through which magnetic flux generated from the moving

member (10) passes, is constituted by said yokes (26a, 26b) and said outer yoke (52), and

a position of said moving member (10) is detected by detecting an increase of the

magnetic flux interlinking with said air-core detecting coil (53)."

Features of Independent Claim 1

In the present application, the magnetic circuit, through which magnetic flux

generated from the moving member (10) passes, is constituted by the yokes (26a, 26b) and

the outer voke (52), and the position of the moving member (10) is detected by detecting

increase of magnetic flux interlinking with the air-core detecting coil (53), so that the

detection accuracy of the air-core detecting coil (53), which detects the moving member

(10), can be improved. These unique features of the present application are not disclosed

and suggested in the cited references.

And, by coaxially arranging the air-core detecting coil (53) with the air-core

electromagnetic coils (50a, 50b), induced voltage caused by changing the direction of the

electric current passing through the air-core electromagnetic coils (50, 50b) can be offset.

Reply to Office Action of October 30, 2008

Docket No.: 0038-0487PUS1 Art Unit: 3746

Page 7 of 10

Further, the induced voltage caused by the motion of the moving member (10) is

increased by the magnetic circuit, which is constituted by the yokes (26a, 26b) and the outer

voke (52). Therefore, the detection accuracy can be improved, and influence of the induced

voltage caused by changing the direction of the electric current can be reduced.

Regarding Roth et al. (U.S. 4,965.864)

The Roth et al. reference (US 4,965,864) discloses a linear motor. A piston is

provided in a cylinder and reciprocally moved by applying electric current to coils, which

are provided outside of the cylinder and equally spaced. The piston is linearly reciprocally

moved by applying electric current to the coils, and the movement of the piston is controlled

by selecting pulse voltage applied to a drive coil 1. A control circuit of the Roth et al.

reference includes a sensor 13, e.g., piston position sensor, thermostat, pressure gauge,

remote control unit, timer. In the Roth et al. reference, the moving member (piston) is

reciprocally moved, so no air-core detecting coil is required. Therefore, no vokes for

improving accuracy of detecting induced voltage detected by the detecting coil are required.

Further, a member 17 of the Roth et al. reference is composed of fibers of glass, Kelvar®,

or other appropriate material, so it does not relate to the vokes of the present application,

which improve detection accuracy of the air-core detecting coil.

Regarding Wright (U.S. 4.864.849)

The Wright reference (US 4.864.849) discloses a viscometer which determines

viscosity of a fluid by measuring a time for one reciprocating motion of a piston 1. By

Application No. 10/566,470 Amendment dated January 14, 2009

Reply to Office Action of October 30, 2008

measuring the time for one reciprocating motion instead of a one-way motion, the accuracy

Docket No.: 0038-0487PUS1

Art Unit: 3746

Page 8 of 10

of the viscometer can be improved. Namely, the piston is reciprocally moved by supplying

electricity to drive coils, but no air-core coils are used. Therefore, the Wright reference

cannot be combined with the Roth et al. reference.

Regarding Ohki et al. (U.S. 5,302,872)

The Ohki et al. reference (US 5,302,872) discloses a drive unit of a linear motor and

merely suggests to use air-coils as drive coils.

At least for the reasons explained above, the Applicant respectfully submits that the

combination of elements as set forth in independent claim 1 is not disclosed or made obvious

by the prior art of record, including Roth et al. (U.S. 4,965,864) in view of Wright (U.S.

4,864,849) and Ohki et al. (U.S. 5,302,872).

Therefore, independent claim 1 is in condition for allowance.

Dependent Claims

The Examiner will note that dependent claims 5-9 have been amended merely to

place them in better form.

All dependent claims are in condition for allowance due to their dependency from

allowable independent claims, or due to the additional novel features set forth therein.

All pending claims are now in condition for allowance.

Application No. 10/566,470 Amendment dated January 14, 2009 Reply to Office Action of October 30, 2008

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are respectfully requested.

Docket No.: 0038-0487PUS1 Art Unit: 3746

Page 9 of 10

Docket No.: 0038-0487PUS1 Art Unit: 3746 Page 10 of 10

## CONCLUSION

In view of the above remarks, it is believed that the claims clearly distinguish over the patents relied on by the Examiner, either alone or in combination.

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered most

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786) at (703) 208-4030(direct line).

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.147; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: January 14, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

James M. Slattery

Registration No.: 28,380

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Attorney for Applicant

